| **AB209 Unit 9 Journal Grading Rubric** | **Percent Possible** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria:** | **100%** | **25** |  |
| Specific Paper Objectives: Addresses the Assignment Checklist (80%) and demonstrates analysis and critical thinking based on the scenario after viewing the presentation on ethics: | 80% |  |  |
| * Explains what decision he/she would have made in Sam Trudeau’s place using the ethical decision making steps (provided in the Journal assignment).
 |  | 10 |  |
| * Explains the ethical considerations using his/her ethical reasoning based on the (1) Consequentialist (chooses one: ethical egoism, act utilitarianism, or rule utilitarianism) and (2) Non-consequentialist (chooses one: Divine command, or Categorical imperative) **or** one of the Virtue ethics viewpoints. (Presents a total of two viewpoints concerning the scenario.)
 |  | 10 |  |
| **Subtotal:** |  | **20** |  |
| **Writing Style, Grammar, APA (20%)** |  |  |  |
| Grammar and spelling are correct. |  | 3 |  |
| Writes a minimum of a 250 words in a Microsoft® Word® Document double-spaced, using Times New Roman 12-point font, with an additional title page.  |  | 2 |  |
| **Subtotal:** | 20% | **25** |  |
| **Total Assignment Score:** | **100%** | **Total Points Possible: 25** | **Total Points Earned:**  |