#### **Unit 5 Case Studies**

#### Case Study #1:

Carol Wright is an outstanding manager. She has worked for C&S Pharmaceuticals for 15 years. She has received five promotions and is currently responsible for abstracting and quality operations. Carol has been quick to identify personnel problems and provides educational and instructional support to her staff.

Carol supervises Joan, who is often absent or late for work. When she does come to work she makes many mistakes, but she always seems to meet work standards prior to her performance reviews. Various forms of motivation, education, and administrative support have been offered to Joan in the past. This week, Joan failed to show up for three days without notifying the office. The company policy states that if an employee does not show up for work for three consecutive days without notifying the office by the end of the third business day (5 p.m.), the employee's employment shall be terminated.

On the third day, some managers may be anxiously waiting for the employee to call and explain the absence, but this was not the case with Carol. Carol had recognized that this employee was nonproductive, noncompliant, and met the criteria for job abandonment. Carol was prepared to terminate Joan because she repeatedly demonstrated a clear disregard for the policy related to reporting to work. Carol no longer had any patience for Joan's behavior. Carol was confident that the employee was not going to comply with the policy and that this would be an easy termination. She completed the termination paperwork based on job abandonment at 3 p.m. and left it on her desk for the 5 p.m. submission to the human resources department. At 4:30 p.m., Carol's secretary told her that Joan was on the telephone, but Carol did not accept the call. The paperwork was sent to the human resources department at 5 p.m. and Joan's employment was terminated the next day (Flite and Laquer, 2006).

### **Case Study #1 Questions:**

- 1. What is your reaction to the actions taken by Carol Wright?
- 2. What guidance could Carol Wright take from Obligations to the Employer?
- 3. What guidance could Carol Wright take from the four basic ethical principles: Autonomy (decide for one's self), Beneficence (doing good), Nonmaleficence (not harm to others), and Justice (treating people fairly)?
- 4. Based on the review of these sources for ethical guidance, has your reaction to the action taken by Carol Wright changed? Why or why not.

## Case Study #2:

Administrators at Cure-All Hospital are concerned that they do not have a comprehensive corporate compliance program in place for their organization. As the HIM Director, Jane has been tasked with determining the needs of the organization and creating a presentation for the administrative team outlining the elements required to establish an effective and comprehensive corporate compliance program.

# Case Study #2 Questions:

- 1. Discuss the elements of a compliance program that Jane should include in her presentation.
- 2. As Jane explains to the administrative team the importance of designating a chief compliance officer, discuss the responsibilities of the person in that role.